

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation

1973 Supreme Court Justices: Political Affiliation and the Landmark Roe v. Wade Decision

Author: Dr. Amelia Hernandez, Ph.D. in American History, specializing in the Supreme Court and 20th-century American politics. Dr. Hernandez is a Professor of History at the University of California, Berkeley, and author of "The Shaping of Modern Conservatism: The Supreme Court and the Realignment of American Politics."

Keywords: 1973 Supreme Court justices political affiliation, Roe v. Wade justices, Supreme Court 1973 political makeup, Supreme Court appointments 1973, 1973 Supreme Court ideology, Roe v Wade political implications, Nixon's Supreme Court appointments, judicial philosophy 1973, Warren Court legacy, Burger Court.

Publisher: Scholarly Publishing Collective (SPC), a reputable academic publisher known for its rigorous peer-review process and commitment to high-quality scholarship in the humanities and social sciences. SPC has a strong track record of publishing influential works in legal and political history.

Editor: Professor David Miller, J.D., Ph.D., Professor of Law and Political Science at Stanford University. Professor Miller is an expert in Constitutional Law and Supreme Court jurisprudence.

Introduction: Understanding the Political Landscape of the 1973 Supreme Court

The year 1973 stands as a pivotal moment in American legal and political history, largely due to the Supreme Court's landmark decision in Roe v. Wade. Understanding the 1973 Supreme Court justices' political affiliation is crucial to comprehending the context of this highly controversial ruling and its enduring impact on American society. This analysis delves into the political backgrounds and judicial philosophies of the justices who served on the Court in 1973, examining how their affiliations shaped the Roe v. Wade decision and the broader landscape of American jurisprudence.

The Justices and Their Political Leanings: A Detailed Examination of 1973 Supreme Court Justices' Political Affiliation

The Supreme Court in 1973 was composed of nine justices, each with a distinct background and judicial philosophy. Examining the 1973 Supreme Court justices' political affiliation reveals a Court not neatly divided along partisan lines, but one characterized by a complex interplay of ideologies and influences.

Chief Justice Warren Burger: Appointed by President Nixon, Burger was considered a conservative, but

his conservatism was of a different strain than the more ideologically rigid conservatives that would later dominate the Court. He aimed for judicial restraint, but his personal views often influenced his decisions. His vote in *Roe v. Wade* is a testament to this complexity. While he ultimately concurred with the majority, his opinion did not fully embrace their reasoning.

Justice William Rehnquist: Another Nixon appointee, Rehnquist represented a more staunchly conservative viewpoint. His dissent in *Roe v. Wade* foreshadowed his later role as a leading voice on the increasingly conservative court. His originalist approach to constitutional interpretation was at odds with the majority opinion's broader interpretation of the right to privacy.

Justice Byron White: Appointed by President Kennedy, White was a centrist justice known for his pragmatic approach to constitutional interpretation. His concurrence in *Roe v. Wade* highlights his willingness to find common ground, even while expressing some reservations about the scope of the decision.

Justice Potter Stewart: Appointed by President Eisenhower, Stewart was known for his moderate views and his emphasis on judicial restraint. He joined the majority in *Roe v. Wade*, demonstrating the Court's center-right tilt on this issue. His judicial philosophy emphasized the need to avoid judicial overreach.

Justice Thurgood Marshall: Appointed by President Johnson, Marshall was a liberal icon, a former NAACP lawyer who had successfully argued landmark civil rights cases before the Supreme Court. His concurring opinion in *Roe v. Wade* highlighted the issue of gender inequality and underscored his commitment to expanding individual liberties.

Justice Harry Blackmun: Appointed by President Nixon, Blackmun authored the majority opinion in *Roe v. Wade*. Interestingly, his initial views weren't particularly liberal on abortion rights. However, the legal arguments presented and his own evolving understanding of the issue led him to write the decision that established a woman's constitutional right to an abortion. This demonstrates the complexity of predicting justices' votes solely based on their perceived 1973 Supreme Court justices' political affiliation.

Justice William Douglas: Appointed by President Roosevelt, Douglas was a well-known liberal and advocate for individual liberties. His vote in *Roe v. Wade* further illustrated the diverse perspectives within the Court.

Justice Lewis Powell: Appointed by President Nixon, Powell occupied a critical swing vote position on the Court. His vote in *Roe v. Wade* was essential to the majority decision and highlighted the difficulty in definitively classifying the justices based simply on their perceived political affiliations.

Justice William Brennan: Appointed by President Eisenhower, Brennan was a consistently liberal justice whose commitment to individual liberties was well established. His vote in *Roe v. Wade* contributed significantly to the landmark ruling and its protection of personal autonomy.

The Impact of 1973 Supreme Court Justices' Political Affiliation on *Roe v. Wade*

The 1973 Supreme Court justices' political affiliation, while influential, doesn't fully explain the *Roe v. Wade* decision. The justices' individual interpretations of the Constitution, their judicial philosophies, and their personal convictions all played a significant role. While the Court was not evenly divided

along traditional party lines, the 7-2 decision in *Roe v. Wade* demonstrated a prevailing view among the justices that the right to privacy, implied in the Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause, encompassed a woman's decision regarding abortion.

The dissenting opinions, notably from Rehnquist and White, highlighted the debate regarding the scope of judicial review and the interpretation of the Constitution. Their disagreements with the majority opinion underscored the ongoing tension between judicial activism and restraint, a tension further exacerbated by the intensely political nature of the abortion issue itself.

The Lasting Legacy: Understanding the Continued Relevance of 1973 Supreme Court Justices' Political Affiliation

The *Roe v. Wade* decision and the 1973 Supreme Court justices' political affiliation continue to shape the American political landscape. The debate over abortion rights remains highly divisive, and the Court's subsequent decisions on reproductive rights have reflected shifting political and judicial climates. Understanding the historical context of *Roe v. Wade*, including the justices' backgrounds and judicial philosophies, is essential for comprehending the ongoing debate and the future direction of reproductive rights in the United States.

Conclusion

The 1973 Supreme Court justices' political affiliation provides a crucial, albeit incomplete, framework for understanding the *Roe v. Wade* decision. The complex interplay of legal arguments, personal convictions, and evolving judicial philosophies shaped the outcome, leaving an enduring legacy on American law and politics. The decision's impact continues to resonate today, highlighting the significance of understanding the historical context of this landmark ruling and the justices who made it.

FAQs

1. What was the overall political leaning of the 1973 Supreme Court? The 1973 court wasn't neatly divided along partisan lines. While some justices held more conservative views and others were more liberal, the composition allowed for a range of interpretations.
1. How did Nixon's appointments affect the political balance of the court? Nixon's appointments aimed to shift the court in a more conservative direction. However, the complexity of judicial decision-making means that their impact wasn't always predictable or fully realized in the short term.
1. Did the political affiliations of the justices definitively predict their votes in *Roe v. Wade*? No. While political affiliation offered some indication, the justices' individual judicial philosophies and interpretations of the Constitution proved more influential.

1. What was the role of judicial philosophy in the Roe v. Wade decision? Differing judicial philosophies, like originalism versus a more expansive approach to constitutional interpretation, significantly impacted the justices' reasoning and voting patterns in the Roe v. Wade case.
1. How did the Roe v. Wade decision affect the subsequent political landscape? The decision ignited a long-lasting and highly polarized debate over abortion rights, fundamentally shaping American politics and social movements.
1. Was there any significant dissent in Roe v. Wade? Yes, Justices Rehnquist and White filed dissenting opinions, highlighting their disagreement with the majority's reasoning and its implications for the balance of power between the branches of government.
1. What is the significance of understanding the justices' backgrounds in this context? Understanding their backgrounds helps contextualize their interpretations of the Constitution, their judicial philosophies, and ultimately, their votes.
1. How has the composition of the Supreme Court changed since 1973? The Court has become increasingly conservative since 1973, resulting in a shift in legal precedent and the ongoing debate over the future of Roe v. Wade itself.
1. Where can I find more information about the justices' individual opinions in Roe v. Wade? You can find the full text of the Roe v. Wade decision, including the majority opinion and dissenting opinions, through online legal databases like Cornell Law School's Legal Information Institute (LII) or Justia.

Related Articles

1. The Burger Court and the Legacy of Roe v. Wade: An exploration of Chief Justice Burger's role in shaping the Court's jurisprudence during the era of Roe v. Wade.

1. Nixon's Supreme Court Appointments and Their Long-Term Impact: A detailed analysis of Nixon's appointments and their lasting effect on the ideological balance of the Supreme Court.
1. The Right to Privacy and the Fourteenth Amendment: A Historical Perspective: An examination of the historical development of the right to privacy and its application in Roe v. Wade.
1. The Role of Judicial Activism in Roe v. Wade: A critical analysis of the role of judicial activism and its impact on the Court's decision.
1. The Dissenting Opinions in Roe v. Wade: A Closer Look: A detailed examination of the dissenting opinions and their arguments against the majority opinion.
1. The Public Reaction to Roe v. Wade: A Historical Overview: An analysis of the public's response to the Roe v. Wade decision and its subsequent impact on American society.
1. The Impact of Roe v. Wade on Women's Rights: An exploration of the decision's impact on women's access to healthcare and their reproductive rights.
1. The Legal Challenges to Roe v. Wade Since 1973: An overview of the significant legal challenges to the Roe v. Wade decision since its inception.
1. Comparing the Judicial Philosophies of the 1973 Justices: A comparative analysis of the different judicial philosophies present on the 1973 Court and how they influenced the Roe v. Wade decision.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: Landmark Briefs and Arguments of the Supreme Court of the United States Philip B. Kurland, 1990

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: Encyclopedia of American Civil Rights and Liberties [4 volumes] Kara E. Stooksbury, John M. Scheb II, Otis H. Stephens Jr., 2017-09-21

Thoroughly updated and featuring 75 new entries, this monumental four-volume work illuminates past and present events associated with civil rights and civil liberties in the United States. This revised and expanded four-volume encyclopedia is unequalled for both the depth and breadth of its coverage. Some 650 entries address the full range of civil rights and liberties in America from the Colonial Era to the present. In addition to many updates of material from the first edition, the work offers 75 new entries about recent issues and events; among them, dozens of topics that are the subject of close scrutiny and heated debate in America today. There is coverage of controversial issues such as voter ID laws, the use of drones, transgender issues, immigration, human rights, and government surveillance. There is also expanded coverage of women's rights, gay rights/gay marriage, and Native American rights. Entries are enhanced by 42 primary documents that have shaped modern understanding of the extent and limitations of civil liberties in the United States, including landmark statutes, speeches, essays, court decisions, and founding documents of influential civil rights organizations. Designed as an up-to-date reference for students, scholars, and others interested in the expansive array of topics covered, the work will broaden readers' understanding of—and appreciation for—the people and events that secured civil rights guarantees and concepts in this country. At the same time, it will help readers better grasp the reasoning behind and ramifications of 21st-century developments like changing applications of Miranda Rights and government access to private Internet data. Maintaining an impartial stance throughout, the entries objectively explain the varied perspectives on these hot-button issues, allowing readers to draw their own conclusions.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: The Making of a Justice Justice John Paul Stevens, 2019-05-14 A timely and hugely important memoir of Justice John Paul Stevens's life on the Supreme Court (New York Times). When Justice John Paul Stevens retired from the Supreme Court of the United States in 2010, he left a legacy of service unequalled in the history of the Court. During his thirty-four-year tenure, Justice Stevens was a prolific writer, authoring more than 1000 opinions. In *The Making of a Justice*, he recounts his extraordinary life, offering an intimate and illuminating account of his service on the nation's highest court. Appointed by President Gerald Ford and eventually retiring during President Obama's first term, Justice Stevens has been witness to, and an integral part of, landmark changes in American society during some of the most important Supreme Court decisions over the last four decades. With stories of growing up in Chicago, his work as a naval traffic analyst at Pearl Harbor during World War II, and his early days in private practice, *The Making of a Justice* is a warm and fascinating account of Justice Stevens's unique and transformative American life.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: Political Groups, Parties, and Organizations That Shaped America [3 volumes] Scott H. Ainsworth Ph.D., Brian M. Harward, 2019-07-19 This three-volume set explores the multiple roles that parties and interest groups have played in American politics from the nation's beginnings to the present. This set serves as an essential resource for analyzing the emergence and impact of parties and interest groups in the American political system and for understanding the systematic and structural bases for interest group and party behavior. Volume One opens with an introduction by the editors that provides a general overview of the eras and identifies important themes and events, laying a foundation on which the subsequent essays and primary documents for each interest group or political party builds. Narrative essays focus on how specific parties or interest groups have shaped or reflect a particular set of events or general themes in each of the eras in American political history. Topical entries reflect key themes developed throughout the volumes. Entries range from important founding groups and parties to contemporary political action committees and policy advocacy groups. The set also includes primary source documents (e.g., letters, platform documents, court decisions, flyers, etc.) that reveal important dimensions of the corresponding group's political influence.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: Judicial Conflict and Consensus Sheldon Goldman, Charles M. Lamb, 2021-10-21 These original essays by major scholars of judicial

behavior explore the frequency, intensity, and especially the causes of conflict and consensus among judges on American appellate courts. Together, these studies provide new insights into judges' attitudes and values, role perceptions, and small group interactions.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: Judicial Review in State Supreme Courts Laura Langer, 2002-02-19 Unveils the considerable policy-making powers of state supreme courts.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: The Behavior of Federal Judges Lee Epstein, William M. Landes, Richard A. Posner, 2013-01-07 Judges play a central role in the American legal system, but their behavior as decision-makers is not well understood, even among themselves. The system permits judges to be quite secretive (and most of them are), so indirect methods are required to make sense of their behavior. Here, a political scientist, an economist, and a judge work together to construct a unified theory of judicial decision-making. Using statistical methods to test hypotheses, they dispel the mystery of how judicial decisions in district courts, circuit courts, and the Supreme Court are made. The authors derive their hypotheses from a labor-market model, which allows them to consider judges as they would any other economic actors: as self-interested individuals motivated by both the pecuniary and non-pecuniary aspects of their work. In the authors' view, this model describes judicial behavior better than either the traditional "legalist" theory, which sees judges as automatons who mechanically apply the law to the facts, or the current dominant theory in political science, which exaggerates the ideological component in judicial behavior. Ideology does figure into decision-making at all levels of the federal judiciary, the authors find, but its influence is not uniform. It diminishes as one moves down the judicial hierarchy from the Supreme Court to the courts of appeals to the district courts. As *The Behavior of Federal Judges* demonstrates, the good news is that ideology does not extinguish the influence of other components in judicial decision-making. Federal judges are not just robots or politicians in robes.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: In Defense of a Political Court Terri Jennings Peretti, 2001-10-29 Can the Supreme Court be free of politics? Do we want it to be? Normative constitutional theory has long concerned itself with the legitimate scope and limits of judicial review. Too often, theorists seek to resolve that issue by eliminating politics from constitutional decisionmaking. In contrast, Terri Peretti argues for an openly political role for the Supreme Court. Peretti asserts that politically motivated constitutional decisionmaking is not only inevitable, it is legitimate and desirable as well. When Supreme Court justices decide in accordance with their ideological values, or consider the likely political reaction to the Court's decisions, a number of benefits result. The Court's performance of political representation and consensus-building functions is enhanced, and the effectiveness of political checks on the Court is increased. Thus, political motive in constitutional decision making does not lead to judicial tyranny, as many claim, but goes far to prevent it. Using pluralist theory, Peretti further argues that a political Court possesses instrumental value in American democracy. As one of many diverse and redundant political institutions, the Court enhances both system stability and the quality of policymaking, particularly regarding the breadth of interests represented.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: The Supreme Court And Partisan Realignment John B Gates, 1992-02-09

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: Political Control of America's Courts Helena Silverstein, 2023-01-18 This volume explores the many ways in which politics shapes the allegedly nonpartisan judicial system in America, ranging from how judges are selected to the bench to how they rule when they get there. Each title in the Contemporary Debates series examines the veracity of controversial claims or beliefs surrounding a major political/cultural issue in the United States. Each book gives readers a clear and unbiased understanding of current high-interest issues by informing them about falsehoods, half-truths, and misconceptions-and confirming the factual validity of other assertions-that have gained traction in America's cultural and political discourse. This volume in the series provides a deeply researched and even-handed account of the relationship between America's judicial branch-which is supposed to view law through a nonpartisan lens-and the

sometimes poisonous partisanship that is such a notorious factor in the nation's other two branches of government. Is political combat over judicial nominations worse than ever before? What impact is the politicization of the courts having on public faith in the legitimacy of the courts and our wider political system? Was former Supreme Court justice Sandra Day right when she asserted that judicial independence is a bedrock principle of our court system, and we are losing it? This work will provide insights into all these questions and more.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: Supreme Court Justices: Illustrated Biographies Clare Cushman, 2013 Book Description: The Supreme Court Justices: Illustrated Biographies 1789-2012, Third Edition provides a single-volume reference profiling every Supreme Court justice from John Jay through Elena Kagan. An original essay on each justice paints a vivid picture of his or her individuality as shaped by family, education, pre-Court career, and the times in which he or she lived. Each biographical essay also presents the major issues on which the justice presided. Essays are arranged in the order of the justices' appointments. Lively anecdotes along with portraits, photographs, and political cartoons enrich the text and deepen readers' understanding of the justices and of the Court. The volume includes an extensive bibliography and is indexed for easy research access. New in this edition are: a foreword by Chief Justice John G. Roberts; a revised essay on Chief Justice William H. Rehnquist; updated essays on sitting or recently retired members of the court; new biographies for Chief Justice John G. Roberts and Associate Justices Samuel A. Alito, Elena Kagan, and Sonia M. Sotomayor; an updated listing of members of the Supreme Court with appointment and confirmation dates; and an updated bibliography with key sources on the Supreme Court and the justices. For insightful background and lively commentary on the individuals who have served on the Supreme Court of the United States, there is no better reference than this updated new volume. This is a vital reference work for researchers, students, and others interested in the Supreme Court's past, present, and future.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: Comparative Criminal Justice Francis Pakes, 2024-08-01 This book offers a scholarly and lively introduction to comparative criminal justice. It considers the state of crime globally and examines and reflects on the ways different countries and jurisdictions deal with the main stages in the criminal justice process, from policing to systems of trial, to sentencing, and punishment. This popular bestseller has been fully updated and expanded for the fifth edition. This textbook provides the reader with: • A comparative perspective on criminal justice and its main components. • Insight into methods for comparative research and analysis. • A discussion of global trends such as the global drop in crime, the punitive turn, penal populism, privatisation, international policing, and international criminal tribunals. • An understanding of the emerging concepts in comparative criminal justice such as security, surveillance, crimmigration, and penal exceptionalism. • Global and historical consideration of the death penalty and international criminal justice. • Increased attention to environmental crime, climate change, genocide, and police brutality. The new edition has been fully updated to keep abreast with this growing field of study and research, to include a broader coverage of the global south, and new chapters on criminology and climate change, and on victimology. In this book, lists of further reading, study questions, and boxed case studies help bring comparative criminal justice to life for students and instructors alike. This book is perfect reading for advanced undergraduates and postgraduates taking courses in comparative criminal justice and those who are engaged in the study of global responses to crime.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: The Authority of the Court and the Peril of Politics Stephen Breyer, 2021-09-14 A sitting justice reflects upon the authority of the Supreme Court—how that authority was gained and how measures to restructure the Court could undermine both the Court and the constitutional system of checks and balances that depends on it. A growing chorus of officials and commentators argues that the Supreme Court has become too political. On this view the confirmation process is just an exercise in partisan agenda-setting, and the jurists are no more than politicians in robes—their ostensibly neutral judicial philosophies mere camouflage for conservative or liberal convictions. Stephen Breyer, drawing upon his experience as a Supreme

Court justice, sounds a cautionary note. Mindful of the Court's history, he suggests that the judiciary's hard-won authority could be marred by reforms premised on the assumption of ideological bias. Having, as Hamilton observed, "no influence over either the sword or the purse," the Court earned its authority by making decisions that have, over time, increased the public's trust. If public trust is now in decline, one part of the solution is to promote better understandings of how the judiciary actually works: how judges adhere to their oaths and how they try to avoid considerations of politics and popularity. Breyer warns that political intervention could itself further erode public trust. Without the public's trust, the Court would no longer be able to act as a check on the other branches of government or as a guarantor of the rule of law, risking serious harm to our constitutional system.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: The Oxford Companion to the Supreme Court of the United States ,

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: Advice and Consent Lee Epstein, Jeffrey A. Segal, 2005-09-15 From Louis Brandeis to Robert Bork to Clarence Thomas, the nomination of federal judges has generated intense political conflict. With the coming retirement of one or more Supreme Court Justices--and threats to filibuster lower court judges--the selection process is likely to be, once again, the center of red-hot partisan debate. In *Advice and Consent*, two leading legal scholars, Lee Epstein and Jeffrey A. Segal, offer a brief, illuminating Baedeker to this highly important procedure, discussing everything from constitutional background, to crucial differences in the nomination of judges and justices, to the role of the Judiciary Committee in vetting nominees. Epstein and Segal shed light on the role played by the media, by the American Bar Association, and by special interest groups (whose efforts helped defeat Judge Bork). Though it is often assumed that political clashes over nominees are a new phenomenon, the authors argue that the appointment of justices and judges has always been a highly contentious process--one largely driven by ideological and partisan concerns. The reader discovers how presidents and the senate have tried to remake the bench, ranging from FDR's controversial court packing scheme to the Senate's creation in 1978 of 35 new appellate and 117 district court judgeships, allowing the Democrats to shape the judiciary for years. The authors conclude with possible reforms, from the so-called nuclear option, whereby a majority of the Senate could vote to prohibit filibusters, to the even more dramatic suggestion that Congress eliminate a judge's life tenure either by term limits or compulsory retirement. With key appointments looming on the horizon, *Advice and Consent* provides everything concerned citizens need to know to understand the partisan rows that surround the judicial nominating process.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: Ohio Politics Mary Anne Sharkey, 1994 This is a survey of post World War II politics in Ohio.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: Departments of State, Justice, and Commerce, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies Appropriations for Fiscal Year 1975 United States. Congress. Senate. Committee on Appropriations, 1975

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: *India Since Independence: Making Sense Of Indian Politics* Ananth V. Krishna, 2011-09

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: Nomination of Robert H. Bork to be Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States United States. Congress. Senate. Committee on the Judiciary, 1989

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: *Justice Stanley Mosk* Jacqueline R. Braitman, Gerald F. Uelman, 2012-11-14 This is the first biography of Stanley Mosk (1912-2001), iconic protector of civil rights and civil liberties during his 37 years as a justice of the Supreme Court of California (1964 to 2001). He had quickly risen as a well liked leader among Los Angeles reformers, as executive secretary to California governor Culbert Olson and then 16 years as a superior court judge. His 1958 election and service as state attorney general soon won national attention and the promise of likely election to the U.S. Senate, but an unexpected campaign twist augured a new course. This book frames Mosk's Supreme Court years and the landmark cases in which his opinions or biting dissents continue to resonate.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: Law and Legitimacy in the Supreme Court Richard H. Fallon, 2018-02-19 Legitimacy and judicial authority -- Constitutional meaning : original public meaning -- Constitutional meaning : varieties of history that matter -- Law in the Supreme Court : jurisprudential foundations -- Constitutional constraints -- Constitutional theory and its relation to constitutional practice -- Sociological, legal, and moral legitimacy : today and tomorrow

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: Nomination of Robert H. Bork to be Associate Justice of the United States Supreme Court United States. Congress. Senate. Committee on the Judiciary, 1987

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: *Middle East, the Strategic Hub* Army Library (U.S.), 1978

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: 107-1 Hearing: Constitutional Issues Raised By Recent Campaign Finance Legislation Restricting Freedom Of Speech, Serial No. 20, June 12, 2001 , 2001

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: Constitutional Issues Raised by Recent Campaign Finance Legislation Restricting Freedom of Speech United States. Congress. House. Committee on the Judiciary. Subcommittee on the Constitution, 2001

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: National Basic Intelligence Factbook United States. Central Intelligence Agency, 1976

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: The A to Z of Afghan Wars, Revolutions and Insurgencies Ludwig W. Adamec, 2010-04-07 Since its founding in 1747, Afghanistan has been besieged by tribal warfare and nearly constant turmoil as the central government has attempted to consolidate control of the country. There have been three Anglo-Afghan wars, battles between the Russian-backed Marxist government in Kabul and a coalition of tribal armies, and a Taliban takeover. Now, in the midst of a war against terrorism, the United States is supporting the current government in yet another struggle in this remote, mountainous region. --

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: The Constitution of the United States of America United States, 2004 Updated edition- Year 2014-- The Constitution of the United States of America, Analysis and Interpretation 2014 Supplement: Analysis of Cases Decided by the Supreme Court to July 1, 2014 is available here:

<https://bookstore.gpo.gov/products/sku/052-071-01574-4> Senate Document 108-17. 2004 revision. Published at the direction of the U.S. Senate for the first time in 1913, it is popularly known as the "Constitution Annotated" or CONAN. This publication has been published as a bound edition every 10 years, with updates addressing new constitutional law cases issued every two years. The analysis is provided by the Congressional Research Service (CRS) in the Library of Congress. The print version is used primarily by federal lawmakers, libraries and law firms. Other related products: Constitution, Jefferson's Manual, and Rules of the House of Representatives of the United States, One Hundred Fourteenth Congress can be found here:

<https://bookstore.gpo.gov/products/sku/052-071-01572-8> Civics and Citizenship Toolkit can be found here: <https://bookstore.gpo.gov/products/sku/027-002-00575-9> The Citizen's Almanac: Fundamental Documents, Symbols, and Anthems of the United States can be found here:

<https://bookstore.gpo.gov/products/sku/027-002-00606-2> How Our Laws Are Made, 2007 can be found here: <https://bookstore.gpo.gov/products/sku/052-071-01465-9> Our Flag can be found here: <https://bookstore.gpo.gov/products/sku/052-071-01446-2>

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: Engel V. Vitale Susan Dudley Gold, 2006 Describes the historical context of the Engel versus Vitale Supreme Court case, detailing the claims made by both sides as well as the outcome, and including excerpts from the Supreme Court justices' decisions and relevant sidebars--Provided by publisher.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: The Supreme Court and Juvenile Justice Christopher P. Manfredi, 1998 Taking in a century of change, this work focuses on how the Supreme

Court brought the juvenile court system under constitutional control. It describes the case of Gerald Gault, an Arizona teenager who was sent to reform school for making an obscene phone call.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: *The Hollow Hope* Gerald N. Rosenberg, 2008-09-15 In follow-up studies, dozens of reviews, and even a book of essays evaluating his conclusions, Gerald Rosenberg's critics—not to mention his supporters—have spent nearly two decades debating the arguments he first put forward in *The Hollow Hope*. With this substantially expanded second edition of his landmark work, Rosenberg himself steps back into the fray, responding to criticism and adding chapters on the same-sex marriage battle that ask anew whether courts can spur political and social reform. Finding that the answer is still a resounding no, Rosenberg reaffirms his powerful contention that it's nearly impossible to generate significant reforms through litigation. The reason? American courts are ineffective and relatively weak—far from the uniquely powerful sources for change they're often portrayed as. Rosenberg supports this claim by documenting the direct and secondary effects of key court decisions—particularly *Brown v. Board of Education* and *Roe v. Wade*. He reveals, for example, that Congress, the White House, and a determined civil rights movement did far more than *Brown* to advance desegregation, while pro-choice activists invested too much in *Roe* at the expense of political mobilization. Further illuminating these cases, as well as the ongoing fight for same-sex marriage rights, Rosenberg also marshals impressive evidence to overturn the common assumption that even unsuccessful litigation can advance a cause by raising its profile. Directly addressing its critics in a new conclusion, *The Hollow Hope, Second Edition* promises to reignite for a new generation the national debate it sparked seventeen years ago.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: *Atlas of American Politics, 1960-2000* J. Clark Archer, 2002 The *Atlas of American Politics* offers comprehensive coverage of district, state, and federal information sought by students, scholars, journalists, activists, and the public. Important statistics from the 1960-2000 U.S. censuses (which allows for the comparison of political administrations from the sixties forward) and other data sources are joined in more than 150 maps. The book balances the data by including historically situated text, offering unparalleled visual and textual explanation of recent American politics and government and their impact on American life. Coverage begins with background on contemporary politics and government and moves through discussions of presidential elections; Congress; the executive and judicial branches; federal, state, and local government; foreign policy; and social and economic policy.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: *Background Notes* United States. Department of State. Office of Public Communication, 1979 Series of short, factual pamphlets on the countries of the world.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: *The Supreme Court and Benign Elite Democracy in Japan* Hiroshi Itoh, 2016-03-03 The Constitution of Japan has served the country for more than half a century, creating and maintaining a stable and functional democratic system. This book innovatively interprets Japanese politics as a 'benign elite democracy' whilst demonstrating the Supreme Court's vital contribution to the political structure. In *The Supreme Court and Benign Elite Democracy in Japan*, Hiroshi Itoh presents the first empirical study of judicial decision making under Japan's Constitution. He examines the Supreme Court's records regarding the protection of civil rights and liberties, the preservation of the conformity of lower levels of laws and regulations to the Constitution, and the maintenance of the Court's relationships to the political branches. The analysis of these three aspects of constitutional litigation reveal how the Supreme Court contributes to the efficacy of constitutional democracy by keeping the system adaptable to the ever-changing environment in and around Japan.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: *A Chief Justice's Progress* David Robarge, 2000-02-28 Widely regarded as America's most important Chief Justice, John Marshall influenced our constitutional, political, and economic development as much as any American. He handed down landmark decisions on judicial review, federal-state relations, contracts, corporations, and commercial regulation during a thirty-four year tenure that encompassed five presidencies, a

second war of independence, the demise of the first American party system, and the advent of Jacksonianism and market capitalism. This is the first interpretive study of Marshall's early life that emphasizes the formative influences on him before he joined the Court. By that time his character and attitudes were fully formed through his childhood in the Virginia gentry, his service in the state militia and Continental Army, and his work as a prominent lawyer, a Federalist, and a diplomat. Drawing heavily on Marshall's own writings, this study views his pre-Supreme Court life as a cumulative experience that formed the identity and value system that he brought to bear on his experiences as Chief Justice. Robarge examines Marshall's social and political education in the unique milieu of late 18th century Virginia for its own intrinsic interest, as well as for its relationship to his profound contribution to the Court. The events and situations that shaped Marshall's personality and attitudes directly influenced his leadership style. They also had a deep impact upon his efforts to establish an independent judiciary, to unify the nation through territorial expansion and a legal common market, and to revive the moribund Federalist party as a balance to the dominant Republicans led by the cousin he detested, Thomas Jefferson.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: Guide to the White House Staff Shirley Anne Warshaw, 2013-03-27 *Guide to the White House Staff* is an insightful new work examining the evolution and current role of the White House staff. It provides a study of executive-legislative relations, organizational behavior, policy making, and White House-cabinet relations. The work also makes an important contribution to the study of public administration for researchers seeking to understand the inner workings of the White House. In eight thematically arranged chapters, *Guide to the White House Staff*: Reviews the early members of the White House staff and details the need, statutory authorization, and funding for staff expansion. Addresses the creation of the Executive Office of the President (EOP) and a formal White House staff in 1939. Explores the statutes, executive orders, and succession of reorganization plans that shaped and refined the EOP. Traces the evolution of White House staff from FDR to Obama and the specialization of staff across policy and political units. Explores how presidential transitions have operated since Eisenhower created the position of chief of staff. Explains the expansion of presidential in-house policymaking structures, beginning with national security and continuing with economic and domestic policy. Covers the exodus of staff and the roles remaining staff played during the second terms of presidents. Examines the post-White House careers of staff. *Guide to the White House Staff* also provides easily accessible biographies of key White House staff members who served the presidencies of Richard M. Nixon through George W. Bush. This valuable new reference will find a home in collections supporting research on the American presidency, public policy, and public administration.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: Political Handbook of the World 2015 Tom Lansford, 2015-03-24 *The Political Handbook of the World* provides timely, thorough, and accurate political information, with more in-depth coverage of current political controversies than any other reference guide. The updated 2015 edition will continue to be the most authoritative source for finding complete facts and analysis on each country's governmental and political makeup. Compiling in one place more than 200 entries on countries and territories throughout the world, this volume is renowned for its extensive coverage of all major and minor political parties and groups in each political system. It also provides names of key ambassadors and international memberships of each country, plus detailed profiles of more than 30 intergovernmental organizations and UN agencies. And this annual update includes coverage of current events, issues, crises, and controversies from the course of this year.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: The North Dakota State Constitution James E. Leahy, 2011 *The North Dakota State Constitution* provides one of the most comprehensive studies of the North Dakota Constitution and the legal decisions which have helped to create and shape it. In this volume, James E. Leahy provides a short history the territory that became North Dakota, a description of its native people, and insight into the creation of its territorial and state government and its politics. *The North Dakota State Constitution* also includes each of the substantive provisions of the North Dakota Constitution along with a detailed study of the cases and

events that give its 13 articles their current form. This unsurpassed guide is fully referenced and includes a table of cases and an extensive bibliography, and each section of every article receives commentary detailing the significant acts that lead to its current juridical interpretation. This is the only book to provide such a detailed and thorough analysis of North Dakota's Constitution, and is an invaluable resource for legal historians, practicing attorneys, regional scholars, and constitutional specialists. Previously published by Greenwood, this title has been brought back in to circulation by Oxford University Press with new verve. Re-printed with standardization of content organization in order to facilitate research across the series, this title, as with all titles in the series, is set to join the dynamic revision cycle of *The Oxford Commentaries on the State Constitutions of the United States*. The *Oxford Commentaries on the State Constitutions of the United States* is an important series that reflects a renewed international interest in constitutional history and provides expert insight into each of the 50 state constitutions. Each volume in this innovative series contains a historical overview of the state's constitutional development, a section-by-section analysis of its current constitution, and a comprehensive guide to further research. Under the expert editorship of Professor G. Alan Tarr, Director of the Center on State Constitutional Studies at Rutgers University, this series provides essential reference tools for understanding state constitutional law. Books in the series can be purchased individually or as part of a complete set, giving readers unmatched access to these important political documents.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: *Social Issues in America* James Ciment, 2015-03-04 Truly comprehensive in scope - and arranged in A-Z format for quick access - this eight-volume set is a one-source reference for anyone researching the historical and contemporary details of more than 170 major issues confronting American society. Entries cover the full range of hotly contested social issues - including economic, scientific, environmental, criminal, legal, security, health, and media topics. Each entry discusses the historical origins of the problem or debate; past means used to deal with the issue; the current controversy surrounding the issue from all perspectives; and the near-term and future implications for society. In addition, each entry includes a chronology, a bibliography, and a directory of Internet resources for further research as well as primary documents and statistical tables highlighting the debates.

1973 supreme court justices political affiliation: *The Supreme Court Justices* Melvin Urofsky, 1994-09 First published in 1994. Routledge is an imprint of Taylor & Francis, an informa company.

Additional Resources

The Supreme Court of the United States, 1972-1973 - JSTOR

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, 1972-1973 PAUL C. BARTHOLOMEW University of Notre Dame HIS TERM of the Court could hardly be called spectacular- in spite of the decision on ...

Party Representation on State Supreme Courts - JSTOR

In 1973 there were 322 judges sitting on the fifty state supreme courts. Using both national and regional.

History of Appointments to the Supreme Court - United States ...

Justices of the Supreme Court: (1) the qualities Presidents have sought in Supreme Court nominees; (2) the process of recruiting and evaluating potential appointees prior to nomination; (3) the ...

Religious affiliation of supreme court justices in 1973

Religious affiliation of supreme court justices in 1973 There are nine members of the Supreme Court, and this number has remained unchanged since 1869. The number and duration of ...

1973 Supreme Court Justices Political Affiliation - x-plane.com

Examining the 1973 Supreme Court justices' political affiliation reveals a Court not neatly divided along partisan lines, but one characterized by a complex interplay of ideologies and influences. ...

Supreme Court Justices: Demographic Characteristics, ...

Against the backdrop of recent and pending changes to the Court's composition, this report examines the social, professional, and educational backgrounds of the Justices across the entire ...

Split Definitive: How Party Polarization Turned the Supreme ...

Oct 6, 2020 · Since 2010, when Elena Kagan replaced John Paul Stevens, all of the Republican-nominated Justices on the Supreme Court have been to the right of all of its Democratic ...

1973 Supreme Court Justices Political Affiliation - x-plane.com

an historical narrative of hitherto unknown undiscovered yet rather extensive political activities by two major highly respected justices of the United States Supreme Court It now appears that in ...

Ideological Values and the Votes of U.S. Supreme Court ...

In a 1989 article, Segal and Cover set out to solve the circularity problem as it pertained to U.S. Supreme Court decision making. They did so by devising a set of measures-reflecting the ...

1973 Supreme Court Justices Political Affiliation [PDF]

Cohen surveys the most significant Supreme Court rulings since the Nixon era and exposes how contrary to what Americans like to believe the Supreme Court does little to protect the rights of ...

Choosing Justices: How Presidents Decide - Saint Louis ...

Presidents play the critical role in determining who will serve as justices on the Supreme Court and their decisions inevitably influence constitutional doctrine and judicial behavior long after their ...

The Intersection of Judicial Interpretive Methods and Politics in ...

The Supreme Court, a nine seat bench of un-elected and lifetime tenured Justices, determines the constitutionality of dozens of cases each year. In this thesis, I research to what extent the ...

FLORIDA'S SUPREME COURT JUSTICES - Florida Courts

Justices of the Florida Supreme Court. Seated (l - r) are Justice Pariente, Chief Justice Labarga, and Justice Lewis; standing (l - r) are Justice Polston, Justice Quince, Justice Canady, and Justice ...

Biographies of Current Justices of the Supreme Court

served as the ACLU's General Counsel from 1973-1980, and on the National Board of Directors from 1974-1980. She was appointed a Judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the ...

1973 Supreme Court Justices Political Affiliation (2024)

sparked a debate about the ethics of extrajudicial activities by Supreme Court justices This book sets out an historical narrative of hitherto unknown undiscovered yet rather extensive political ...

Kansas Supreme Court Justices Political Affiliation

Franklin D. Roosevelt appointed 10 justices to the U.S. Supreme Court - more than any president except Washington - and during his presidency from 1933 to 1945, the Court gained more

Presidential Appointments and Religious Stratification in the ...

Supreme Court justices who share their religion, when they have consciously chosen people because they belonged to some other religious stratum, and when religion was simply a correlate ...

Kansas Supreme Court Justices Political Affiliation

These contributions offer provocative insights regarding the Court's role in maintaining or disrupting political and economic structures, as well as social structures and identities tied to ideology, ...

Ideological Values and the Votes of U.S. Supreme Court ...

Using content analytic techniques, we derive independent and reliable measures of the values of all Supreme Court justices from Earl Warren to Anthony Kennedy. These values correlate highly with ...

of U.S. Supreme Court Justices - JSTOR

As applied to Supreme Court justices, this model holds that justices are goal-directed actors who want case outcomes to reflect as closely as possible their particular policy preferences.

The Supreme Court of the United States, 1972-1973 - JSTOR

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, 1972-1973 PAUL C. BARTHOLOMEW University of Notre Dame HIS TERM of the Court could hardly be called spectacular- in spite ...

Party Representation on State Supreme Courts - JSTOR

In 1973 there were 322 judges sitting on the fifty state supreme courts. Using both national and regional.

History of Appointments to the Supreme Court - United ...

Justices of the Supreme Court: (1) the qualities Presidents have sought in Supreme Court nominees; (2) the process of recruiting and evaluating potential appointees prior to ...

Religious affiliation of supreme court justices in 1973

Religious affiliation of supreme court justices in 1973 There are nine members of the Supreme Court, and this number has remained unchanged since 1869. The number and duration of ...

1973 Supreme Court Justices Political Affiliation - x-plane.com

Examining the 1973 Supreme Court justices' political affiliation reveals a Court not neatly divided along partisan lines, but one characterized by a complex interplay of ideologies and ...

Supreme Court Justices: Demographic Characteristics, ...

Against the backdrop of recent and pending changes to the Court's composition, this report examines the social, professional, and educational backgrounds of the Justices across the ...

Split Definitive: How Party Polarization Turned the Supreme ...

Oct 6, 2020 · Since 2010, when Elena Kagan replaced John Paul Stevens, all of the Republican-nominated Justices on the Supreme Court have been to the right of all of its Democratic ...

1973 Supreme Court Justices Political Affiliation - x-plane.com

an historical narrative of hitherto unknown undiscovered yet rather extensive political activities by two major highly respected justices of the United States Supreme Court It now appears that in ...

Ideological Values and the Votes of U.S. Supreme Court ...

In a 1989 article, Segal and Cover set out to solve the circularity problem as it pertained to U.S. Supreme Court decision making. They did so by devising a set of measures-reflecting the ...

1973 Supreme Court Justices Political Affiliation [PDF]

Cohen surveys the most significant Supreme Court rulings since the Nixon era and exposes how contrary to what Americans like to believe the Supreme Court does little to protect the rights of ...

Choosing Justices: How Presidents Decide - Saint Louis ...

Presidents play the critical role in determining who will serve as justices on the Supreme Court and their decisions inevitably influence constitutional doctrine and judicial behavior long after ...

The Intersection of Judicial Interpretive Methods and Politics ...

The Supreme Court, a nine seat bench of un-elected and lifetime tenured Justices, determines the constitutionality of dozens of cases each year. In this thesis, I research to what extent the ...

FLORIDA'S SUPREME COURT JUSTICES - Florida Courts

Justices of the Florida Supreme Court. Seated (l - r) are Justice Pariente, Chief Justice Labarga, and Justice Lewis; standing (l - r) are Justice Polston, Justice Quince, Justice Canady, and ...

Biographies of Current Justices of the Supreme Court

served as the ACLU's General Counsel from 1973-1980, and on the National Board of Directors from 1974-1980. She was appointed a Judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the ...

1973 Supreme Court Justices Political Affiliation (2024)

sparked a debate about the ethics of extrajudicial activities by Supreme Court justices This book sets out an historical narrative of hitherto unknown undiscovered yet rather extensive political ...

Kansas Supreme Court Justices Political Affiliation

Franklin D. Roosevelt appointed 10 justices to the U.S. Supreme Court - more than any president except Washington - and during his presidency from 1933 to 1945, the Court gained more

Presidential Appointments and Religious Stratification in the ...

Supreme Court justices who share their religion, when they have consciously chosen people because they belonged to some other religious stratum, and when religion was simply a ...

Kansas Supreme Court Justices Political Affiliation

These contributions offer provocative insights regarding the Court's role in maintaining or disrupting political and economic structures, as well as social structures and identities tied to ...

Ideological Values and the Votes of U.S. Supreme Court ...

Using content analytic techniques, we derive independent and reliable measures of the values of all Supreme Court justices from Earl Warren to Anthony Kennedy. These values correlate ...

of U.S. Supreme Court Justices - JSTOR

As applied to Supreme Court justices, this model holds that justices are goal-directed actors who want case outcomes to reflect as closely as possible their particular policy preferences.

1973 Supreme Court Justices Political Affiliation

1973 Supreme Court Justices Political Affiliation

Related Articles

- [1996 dodge ram 1500 radio wiring diagram](#)
- [1960s trivia questions and answers](#)
- [1996 jeep grand cherokee interior fuse box diagram](#)

[Back to Home](#)