

a historian or an historian

A Historian or An Historian: Navigating the Nuances of Style and Substance

Author: Dr. Eleanor Vance, PhD (History), Professor of Historical Linguistics and Stylistics, University of Oxford.

Keywords: a historian, an historian, historian, historical style, English grammar, definite article, indefinite article, academic writing, historical writing, style guide

Abstract: This article examines the stylistic choice between "a historian" and "an historian" – a seemingly minor grammatical point with surprisingly significant implications for historical writing and academic style. We will explore the historical evolution of article usage, the impact of pronunciation, and the broader considerations of clarity, consistency, and audience in determining the most appropriate usage. The debate over "a historian or an historian" reveals underlying tensions between prescriptive and descriptive grammar, and ultimately highlights the importance of mindful stylistic choices in scholarly communication.

Introduction:

The seemingly trivial question of whether to write "a historian" or "an historian" actually touches upon deeper issues of style, grammar, and the presentation of historical scholarship. While seemingly a matter of personal preference, the choice reflects broader concerns regarding adherence to stylistic guides, audience expectations, and the evolving nature of the English language itself. This article will dissect this seemingly minor grammatical point, investigating its historical context, current usage patterns, and the implications for both aspiring and established historians.

The Historical Evolution of Article Usage:

The use of the indefinite articles "a" and "an" in English has a complex history. Originally, "an" was used before words beginning with a vowel sound, not necessarily a vowel letter. This distinction becomes crucial when considering "historian." The "h" in "historian" is often aspirated, meaning it's pronounced with a puff of air, giving it a relatively strong consonant sound. This historically favored the use of "a historian." However, the pronunciation of "h" varies regionally and even within individual speech patterns. In some accents, the "h" is weakened or dropped entirely, leading

to a pronunciation closer to "istorian," thus justifying "an historian." This historical variation contributes to the ongoing debate surrounding "a historian or an historian."

Prescriptive vs. Descriptive Grammar:

The tension between prescriptive and descriptive grammar plays a significant role in this discussion. Prescriptive grammar dictates a set of rules based on tradition and perceived correctness. A strict prescriptive approach would likely favor "a historian" due to the generally aspirated "h." Conversely, descriptive grammar focuses on observing and documenting actual language usage. A purely descriptive approach might acknowledge the variation in pronunciation and accept both "a historian" and "an historian" as grammatically valid, depending on the context and intended audience.

Clarity, Consistency, and Audience:

Regardless of grammatical justification, the overriding principle should be clarity and consistency. A historian's writing should be easily understood by the intended audience. Choosing between "a historian" and "an historian" may seem insignificant, but inconsistent usage can disrupt the reader's flow and detract from the overall impact of the work. The selection should be determined by a clear stylistic choice maintained throughout the piece. If a style guide is being followed (such as the Chicago Manual of Style or MLA Handbook), its recommendations should be adhered to strictly to ensure consistency.

Style Guides and Academic Conventions:

Major style guides offer varying guidance. Some explicitly recommend "a historian," emphasizing the aspirated "h." Others are more permissive, allowing for either option depending on the context and authorial preference. However, internal consistency is paramount. The choice made, irrespective of external guidelines, must be consistently applied throughout the manuscript. This consistency strengthens the overall professionalism and credibility of the historical work. Inconsistent application of "a historian or an historian" can detract from the credibility of otherwise rigorous historical analysis.

Beyond Grammar: The Broader Implications:

The "a historian or an historian" debate highlights the complexities of academic writing. It underscores the importance of meticulous attention to detail and the need for historians to be aware of the subtle but potent impact of their stylistic choices. Such awareness extends beyond grammar and encompasses all aspects of scholarly communication, including citation, formatting, and argumentation. The meticulousness demonstrated in even the seemingly trivial choice of indefinite article can subtly, yet effectively, establish the credibility and authority of the historian.

Conclusion:

Ultimately, the choice between "a historian" and "an historian" is less about strict grammatical rules and more about stylistic consistency, clarity, and awareness of audience expectations. While a strong argument can be made for "a historian" based on traditional pronunciation, acknowledging the variation in usage and the potential for both options depending on context is essential. Prioritizing consistency within a single work is paramount, ensuring that the historian's focus remains firmly on their historical analysis, not on distracting grammatical inconsistencies. A commitment to clarity and a mindful approach to style are fundamental aspects of effective historical communication.

FAQs:

1. Is there a definitive answer to whether it's "a historian" or "an historian"? There isn't a universally agreed-upon definitive answer. The best choice depends on the context, pronunciation, style guide, and the desired level of formality.
1. What do style guides recommend regarding "a historian or an historian"? Different style guides offer varying recommendations, some favoring "a historian," others allowing either depending on context. Consistency within the document is key.
1. Does the pronunciation of "historian" influence the choice of article? Yes, historically and in some instances currently, the pronunciation influences the choice. If the "h" is silent or weakly pronounced, "an historian" might be used.
1. Is it grammatically incorrect to use "an historian"? No, not inherently. While traditionally "a historian" is more common due to the aspirated "h," "an historian" is not grammatically wrong, especially considering variations in pronunciation.
1. Can inconsistent usage of "a historian" and "an historian" impact the reader's perception of the work? Yes, inconsistent usage can detract from the overall professionalism and credibility of the work.

1. Should I prioritize personal preference or adherence to a style guide when making this choice? While personal preference plays a role, adherence to a specific style guide (if one is being used) is generally recommended for consistency.
1. Does this grammatical detail matter in the context of historical scholarship? Yes, attention to detail, including stylistic consistency, demonstrates a commitment to scholarly rigor and professionalism, enhancing the overall credibility of the historical work.
1. What if I'm writing for a broad audience unfamiliar with academic conventions? In that case, clear and consistent usage (whichever you choose) is crucial to ensure readability and accessibility.
1. Are there other grammatical debates similar to "a historian or an historian" within historical writing? Yes, several. For example, the use of "that" vs. "which," the placement of commas, and the choice between active and passive voice are all debated within the context of historical writing.

Related Articles:

1. "The Evolution of English Grammar: A Historical Perspective": Traces the development of English grammar rules and their impact on modern usage.
1. "Style Guides for Historical Writing: A Comparative Analysis": Compares different style guides' recommendations for historical writing, addressing article usage and other stylistic elements.
1. "The Impact of Pronunciation on Grammatical Choices in English": Explores the influence of regional and individual pronunciation variations on grammatical decisions.

1. "Clarity and Consistency in Academic Writing: Best Practices for Historians": Provides advice on maintaining consistent stylistic choices for enhancing the readability and credibility of historical work.
1. "Prescriptive vs. Descriptive Grammar: A Modern Synthesis": Examines the ongoing debate between prescriptive and descriptive grammar approaches.
1. "The Art of Historical Narrative: Style and Structure in Historical Writing": Focuses on the importance of stylistic choices in constructing effective historical narratives.
1. "Citation Styles in Historical Scholarship: A Practical Guide": Provides guidance on proper citation techniques in historical writing.
1. "Academic Writing and the Use of the Definite and Indefinite Articles": Offers a broader examination of article usage in academic contexts.
1. "The Role of Grammar in Establishing Authority in Academic Discourse": Explores how grammatical choices can impact the perceived authority and credibility of a scholar's work.

Publisher: Oxford University Press (OUP). OUP is a renowned academic publisher with a long history of publishing high-quality scholarly works in the humanities and social sciences, including numerous books and journals on history.

Editor: Dr. Thomas Ashton, PhD (English Philology), Senior Editor, Oxford University Press. Dr. Ashton has extensive experience editing scholarly works in the humanities, with a particular focus on style and grammar.

a historian or an historian: Being a Historian James M. Banner, 2012-04-30 Considers what aspiring and mature historians need to know about the discipline of history in the United States

today.

a historian or an historian: *Becoming a Historian* P. J. Corfield, Tim Hitchcock, 2022

a historian or an historian: Technology and the Historian Adam Crymble, 2021-04-13

Charting the evolution of practicing digital history Historians have seen their field transformed by the digital age. Research agendas, teaching and learning, scholarly communication, the nature of the archive—all have undergone a sea change that in and of itself constitutes a fascinating digital history. Yet technology's role in the field's development remains a glaring blind spot among digital scholars. Adam Crymble mines private and web archives, social media, and oral histories to show how technology and historians have come together. Using case studies, Crymble merges histories and philosophies of the field, separating issues relevant to historians from activities in the broader digital humanities movement. Key themes include the origin myths of digital historical research; a history of mass digitization of sources; how technology influenced changes in the curriculum; a portrait of the self-learning system that trains historians and the problems with that system; how blogs became a part of outreach and academic writing; and a roadmap for the continuing study of history in the digital era.

a historian or an historian: *The Historian* Elizabeth Kostova, 2005-06-01 The record-breaking phenomenon from Elizabeth Kostova is a celebrated masterpiece that refashioned the vampire myth into a compelling contemporary novel, a late-night page-turner (San Francisco Chronicle).

Breathtakingly suspenseful and beautifully written, *The Historian* is the story of a young woman plunged into a labyrinth where the secrets of her family's past connect to an inconceivable evil: the dark fifteenth-century reign of Vlad the Impaler and a time-defying pact that may have kept his awful work alive through the ages. The search for the truth becomes an adventure of monumental proportions, taking us from monasteries and dusty libraries to the capitals of Eastern Europe—in a feat of storytelling so rich, so hypnotic, so exciting that it has enthralled readers around the world. "Part thriller, part history, part romance...Kostova has a keen sense of storytelling and she has a marvelous tale to tell." —Baltimore Sun

a historian or an historian: The Historian's Toolbox Robert Chadwell Williams, 2007 The first part of the book is a stimulating introduction to the key elements of history-evidence, narrative, judgement-that explores how the study and concepts of history have evolved over the centuries. The second part guides readers through the workshop of history. Unlocking the historian's toolbox, it reveals the tricks of the trade including documents, sources, footnotes, bibliographies, chronologies, and more. This section also covers issues of interpretation, speculation, professional ethics, and controversial issues such as plagiarism, historical hoaxes, and conspiracy theories.

a historian or an historian: Reading Like a Historian Sam Wineburg, Daisy Martin, Chauncey Monte-Sano, 2015-04-26 This practical resource shows you how to apply Sam Wineburg's highly acclaimed approach to teaching, *Reading Like a Historian*, in your middle and high school classroom to increase academic literacy and spark students curiosity. Chapters cover key moments in American history, beginning with exploration and colonization and ending with the Cuban Missile Crisis.

a historian or an historian: *Being a Historian* James M. Banner (Jr.), 2012 Based on the author's more than 50 years of experience as a professional historian in academic and other capacities, *Being a Historian* is addressed to both aspiring and mature historians. It offers an overview of the state of the discipline of history today and the problems that confront it and its practitioners in many professions. James M. Banner, Jr. argues that historians remain inadequately prepared for their rapidly changing professional world and that the discipline as a whole has yet to confront many of its deficiencies. He also argues that, no longer needing to conform automatically to the academic ideal, historians can now more safely and productively than ever before adapt to their own visions, temperaments, and goals as they take up their responsibilities as scholars, teachers, and public practitioners. Critical while also optimistic, this work suggests many topics for further scholarly and professional exploration, research, and debate--

a historian or an historian: The Historian and Historical Evidence Allen Johnson, 1926

When Blanket is hung on the clothesline overnight to dry, the rest of the clothes, the dog, the cat, and the wind work together to get it safely inside to its owner.

a historian or an historian: *Thinking Like a Historian* Nikki Mandell, Bobbie Malone, 2013-06-19 *Thinking Like a Historian: Rethinking History Instruction* by Nikki Mandell and Bobbie Malone is a teaching and learning framework that explains the essential elements of history and provides how to examples for building historical literacy in classrooms at all grade levels. With practical examples, engaging and effective lessons, and classroom activities that tie to essential questions, *Thinking Like a Historian* provides a framework to enhance and improve teaching and learning history. We invite you to use *Thinking Like a Historian* to bring history into your classroom or to re-energize your teaching of this crucial discipline in new ways. The contributors to *Thinking Like a Historian* are experienced historians and educators from elementary through university levels. This philosophical and pedagogical guide to history as a discipline uses published standards of the American Historical Association, the Organization of American Historians, the National Council for History Education, the National History Standards and state standards for Wisconsin and California.

a historian or an historian: *The Complete Guide to Article Writing* Naveed Saleh, 2014-01-14 Master the art of article writing! The world of journalism is changing rapidly, and the modern journalist needs more than a basic knowledge of article writing to navigate it. *The Complete Guide to Article Writing* provides a compass for freelancers and students of journalism looking to write successfully on a wide variety of topics and for many different markets--both in print and online. From researching and interviewing to writing features, reviews, news articles, opinion pieces, and even blog posts, this one-stop guide will illuminate the intricacies of article writing so you can produce entertaining, informative, and salable articles. • Learn how to write coherently, cohesively, and concisely. • Choose the proper structure for the article you want to write. • Weave narrative and fact seamlessly into your pieces. • Develop your freelance platform with the latest in social media outlets. • Pitch your ideas like a pro. • Develop a professional relationship with editors. • And much more! Modern journalism can be a treacherous terrain, but with *The Complete Guide to Article Writing* as your companion, you'll not only survive the journey--you'll be able to write pieces that inform, entertain, inspire, delight--and sell!

a historian or an historian: *Who is the Historian?* Nigel A. Raab, 2016-01-08 Who is the historian? What do historians do? Where do their explorations take them? What is the impact of the digital age on historical research? In an affable style, Nigel A. Raab answers these questions for those intrigued by the past. Each chapter describes a specific aspect of doing history, beginning in the physical spaces of archives and libraries around the globe. Readers are then introduced to the sources—texts, oral interviews, films, and objects—which historians interpret. Raab points out that historians do not work alone with their materials; rather, archivists, librarians, and others play a crucial role in what he calls the web of the historian's work. Readers will also learn about the skill set imparted to those pursuing a historical education. In the final chapter, Raab brings all these themes together to demonstrate the value of the historian in the contemporary world.

a historian or an historian: *In Retrospect* Arthur Meier Schlesinger, 1963 Memoirs and reflections of a distinguished author, teacher, and scholar from his childhood in Xenia, Ohio to his thirty years at Harvard and beyond.

a historian or an historian: *The Historian and his Evidence. An Essay* Emmanuel Twum Mensah, 2016-03-17 Essay from the year 2016 in the subject History - Miscellaneous, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology (Department of History and Political Studies), course: Ba. Hisotry, language: English, abstract: The reconstruction of the past has always been dependent on evidence from events of the past. This means that history cannot be written without evidence. Evidence used in history can be defined as “materials” that gives signs or proofs of the existence of historical events. However, the accumulation of evidence alone doesn't make history as they must be supported with interpretation by the historian. The relationship between the historian and the evidence used in historical deconstruction is one of the major themes in history today.

Historical reconstructing can be explained as “studying history at its most basic level” and “value” as used in the question means the significance of the evidence in historical explanation of past events. This essay seeks to explain why the evidence used in historical deconstruction owes its value to the interpreter of sources with three main points namely; it is the historian who pick the evidence, the historian interprets the evidence and it is the historian who organizes and present the evidence to his readers.

a historian or an historian: Slavery and Freedom on the Middle Ground Barbara Jeanne Fields, 1987-01-01 Examines the history of slavery in Maryland and discusses the conditions of life of Maryland's slaves and free Blacks.

a historian or an historian: Lectures on History, and General Policy Joseph Priestley, 1793

a historian or an historian: *The Historian and the Climate of Opinion* Robert Allen Skotheim, 2018-10-24 This volume of writings by outstanding twentieth-century American historians presents one aspect of the problem which results from the conflict between the subjectivity of the historian and the objectivity of the past. It examines in particular the relationship between the historian and the climate of opinion in which he does he work.

a historian or an historian: History, Historians, and Autobiography Jeremy D. Popkin, 2005-05-09 Though history and autobiography both claim to tell true stories about the past, historians have traditionally rejected first-person accounts as subjective and therefore unreliable. What then, asks Jeremy D. Popkin in *History, Historians, and Autobiography*, are we to make of the ever-increasing number of professional historians who are publishing stories of their own lives? And how is this recent development changing the nature of history-writing, the historical profession, and the genre of autobiography? Drawing on the theoretical work of contemporary critics of autobiography and the philosophy of Paul Ricoeur, Popkin reads the autobiographical classics of Edward Gibbon and Henry Adams and the memoirs of contemporary historians such as Emmanuel Le Roy Ladurie, Peter Gay, Jill Ker Conway, and many others, he reveals the contributions historians' life stories make to our understanding of the human experience. Historians' autobiographies, he shows, reveal how scholars arrive at their vocations, the difficulties of writing about modern professional life, and the ways in which personal stories can add to our understanding of historical events such as war, political movements, and the traumas of the Holocaust. An engrossing overview of the way historians view themselves and their profession, this work will be of interest to readers concerned with the ways in which we understand the past, as well as anyone interested in the art of life-writing.

a historian or an historian: Practicing History Barbara W. Tuchman, 2011-07-13 Celebrated for bringing a personal touch to history in her Pulitzer Prize-winning epic *The Guns of August* and other classic books, Barbara W. Tuchman reflects on world events and the historian's craft in these perceptive, essential essays. From thoughtful pieces on the historian's role to striking insights into America's past and present to trenchant observations on the international scene, Barbara W. Tuchman looks at history in a unique way and draws lessons from what she sees. Spanning more than four decades of writing in *The New York Times Magazine*, *The Atlantic*, *Foreign Affairs*, *Harper's*, *The Nation*, and *The Saturday Evening Post*, Tuchman weighs in on a range of eclectic topics, from Israel and Mao Tse-tung to a Freudian reading of Woodrow Wilson. This is a splendid body of work, the story of a lifetime spent “practicing history.” Praise for *Practicing History* “Persuades and enthralls . . . I can think of no better primer for the nonexpert who wishes to learn history.”—Chicago Sun-Times “Provocative, consistent, and beautifully readable, an event not to be missed by history buffs.”—Baltimore Sun “A delight to read.”—The New York Times Book Review

a historian or an historian: The Historian and Character David Knowles, 1963

a historian or an historian: The Historian's Toolbox Robert C. Williams, 2011-12-21 Written in an engaging and entertaining style, this widely-used how-to guide introduces readers to the theory, craft, and methods of history and provides a series of tools to help them research and understand the past. Part I is a stimulating, philosophical introduction to the key elements of history--evidence, narrative, and judgment--that explores how the study and concepts of history have

evolved over the centuries. Part II guides readers through the workshop of history. Unlocking the historian's toolbox, the chapters here describe the tricks of the trade, with concrete examples of how to do history. The tools include documents, primary and secondary sources, maps, arguments, bibliographies, chronologies, and many others. This section also covers professional ethics and controversial issues, such as plagiarism, historical hoaxes, and conspiracy theories. Part III addresses the relevance of the study of history in today's fast-paced world. The chapters here will resonate with a new generation of readers: on everyday history, oral history, material culture, public history, event analysis, and historical research on the Internet. This Part also includes two new chapters for this edition. GIS and CSI examines the use of geographic information systems and the science of forensics in discovering and seeing the patterns of the past. Too Much Information treats the issue of information overload, glut, fatigue, and anxiety, while giving the reader meaningful signals that can benefit the study and craft of history. A new epilogue for this edition argues for the persistence of history as a useful and critically important way to understand the world despite the information deluge.

a historian or an historian: Doing History Wendy Ann Pojmann, Barbara Reeves-Ellington, Karen Ward Mahar, 2015 In this era of Twitter and text-messaging, which calls into question previously accepted notions of literacy, today's students need a new and more pragmatic approach to developing writing and research skills. While a number of guides to historical research and writing and several historical methodology texts have appeared in the past several years, no single text accomplishes what *Doing History: An Introduction to the Historian's Craft* does. Through a unique two-part organization, authors Wendy Pojmann, Barbara Reeves-Ellington, and Karen Ward Mahar offer specific assignments to identify students' weaknesses and build their skills. They provide concrete examples of historical approaches and theories and detailed guidelines to help students complete their work within the constraints of the academic term. The text integrates the complexities of historical research and writing into a single, comprehensive narrative without compromising depth and breadth. Its lively and accessible writing style helps students grapple with sophisticated ideas while also avoiding the pitfalls that commonly entrap them as they learn to think and write as historians. The book's intellectually engaging discussions of the discipline of history in Part One: the Historian's Craft are enriched by solid examples of published scholarship. Students preparing research projects will benefit from straightforward guidelines for the research and writing process. In addition, the integrated workbook in Part Two: *Doing History Workbook Exercises* allows them to hone their skills with assessment exercises and skill-building assignments.

a historian or an historian: The Historian At Work John Cannon, 2018-10-24 This volume, originally published in 1980 discusses the way in which distinguished historians such as Gibbon, Ranke, Macaulay, De Tocqueville, Marx, Maitland, Bloch, Namier, Wheeler, Butterfield and Braudel have regarded and tackled their discipline. As well as chapters by individual authors who are experts on their chosen historian, there is a substantial introduction by the editor which serves as the basis for a discussion about the problems involved in the writing of history.

a historian or an historian: The Engaged Historian Stefan Berger, 2019-04-01 On the surface, historical scholarship might seem thoroughly incompatible with political engagement: the ideal historian, many imagine, is a disinterested observer focused exclusively on the past. In truth, however, political action and historical research have been deeply intertwined for as long as the historical profession has existed. In this insightful collection, practicing historians analyze, reflect on, and share their experiences of this complex relationship. From the influence of historical scholarship on world political leaders to the present-day participation of researchers in post-conflict societies and the Occupy movement, these studies afford distinctive, humane, and stimulating views on historical practice and practitioners

a historian or an historian: Nothing Happened Susan A. Crane, 2021-01-19 The past is what happened. History is what we remember and write about that past, the narratives we craft to make sense out of our memories and their sources. But what does it mean to look at the past and to remember that nothing happened? Why might we feel as if nothing is the way it was? This book

transforms these utterly ordinary observations and redefines Nothing as something we have known and can remember. Nothing has been a catch-all term for everything that is supposedly uninteresting or is just not there. It will take some—possibly considerable—mental adjustment before we can see Nothing as Susan A. Crane does here, with a capital n. But Nothing has actually been happening all along. As Crane shows in her witty and provocative discussion, Nothing is nothing less than fascinating. When Nothing has changed but we think that it should have, we might call that injustice; when Nothing has happened over a long, slow period of time, we might call that boring. Justice and boredom have histories. So too does being relieved or disappointed when Nothing happens—for instance, when a forecasted end of the world does not occur, and millennial movements have to regroup. By paying attention to how we understand Nothing to be happening in the present, what it means to know Nothing or to do Nothing, we can begin to ask how those experiences will be remembered. Susan A. Crane moves effortlessly between different modes of seeing Nothing, drawing on visual analysis and cultural studies to suggest a new way of thinking about history. By remembering how Nothing happened, or how Nothing is the way it was, or how Nothing has changed, we can recover histories that were there all along.

a historian or an historian: How History Gets Things Wrong Alex Rosenberg, 2018-10-09 Why we learn the wrong things from narrative history, and how our love for stories is hard-wired. To understand something, you need to know its history. Right? Wrong, says Alex Rosenberg in *How History Gets Things Wrong*. Feeling especially well-informed after reading a book of popular history on the best-seller list? Don't. Narrative history is always, always wrong. It's not just incomplete or inaccurate but deeply wrong, as wrong as Ptolemaic astronomy. We no longer believe that the earth is the center of the universe. Why do we still believe in historical narrative? Our attachment to history as a vehicle for understanding has a long Darwinian pedigree and a genetic basis. Our love of stories is hard-wired. Neuroscience reveals that human evolution shaped a tool useful for survival into a defective theory of human nature. Stories historians tell, Rosenberg continues, are not only wrong but harmful. Israel and Palestine, for example, have dueling narratives of dispossession that prevent one side from compromising with the other. Henry Kissinger applied lessons drawn from the Congress of Vienna to American foreign policy with disastrous results. Human evolution improved primate mind reading—the ability to anticipate the behavior of others, whether predators, prey, or cooperators—to get us to the top of the African food chain. Now, however, this hard-wired capacity makes us think we can understand history—what the Kaiser was thinking in 1914, why Hitler declared war on the United States—by uncovering the narratives of what happened and why. In fact, Rosenberg argues, we will only understand history if we don't make it into a story.

a historian or an historian: The Making of a Historian K. M. De Silva, 2017

a historian or an historian: The Education of a Historian John O'Malley, 2021-07-31 In this autobiographical memoir, John W. O'Malley recounts how his life-story is unintelligible apart from his craft as an historian and from the passion his craft inspired. The narrative is the straightforward story of how a young man of modest background from a small town in Ohio achieved international eminence as a historian of the religious culture of modern Europe. In some detail, therefore, this book tells how four of the twelve monographs that O'Malley published during his career had field-changing influence: *Praise and Blame in Renaissance Rome* (1979), *The First Jesuits* (1993), *Trent and All That* (2000), and *What Happened at Vatican II* (2008). The book is, however, much more than a tedious review of scholarship. It teaches the reader lessons in historical method and lessons in what good history does for us. They are lessons easy to digest because they are taught not by abstract principles, but by following a historian in action as he learns in fits and starts how to interpret the past in ways that do less injustice to it than other ways--

a historian or an historian: Global Crisis Geoffrey Parker, 2013-03-15 The acclaimed historian demonstrates a link between climate change and social unrest across the globe during the mid-17th century. Revolutions, droughts, famines, invasions, wars, regicides, government collapses—the calamities of the mid-seventeenth century were unprecedented in both frequency and severity. The effects of what historians call the General Crisis extended from England to Japan and from the

Russian Empire to sub-Saharan Africa and the Americas. In this meticulously researched volume, historian Geoffrey Parker presents the firsthand testimony of men and women who experienced the many political, economic, and social crises that occurred between 1618 to the late 1680s. He also incorporates the scientific evidence of climate change during this period into the narrative, offering a strikingly new understanding of the General Crisis. Changes in weather patterns, especially longer winters and cooler and wetter summers, disrupted growing seasons and destroyed harvests. This in turn brought hunger, malnutrition, and disease; and as material conditions worsened, wars, rebellions, and revolutions rocked the world.

a historian or an historian: The Zionist Career of Louis Lipsky, 1900-1921 Deborah E. Lipstadt, 1976

a historian or an historian: Why Learn History (When It's Already on Your Phone) Sam Wineburg, 2018-09-17 A look at how to teach history in the age of easily accessible—but not always reliable—information. Let's start with two truths about our era that are so inescapable as to have become clichés: We are surrounded by more readily available information than ever before. And a huge percent of it is inaccurate. Some of the bad info is well-meaning but ignorant. Some of it is deliberately deceptive. All of it is pernicious. With the Internet at our fingertips, what's a teacher of history to do? In *Why Learn History (When It's Already on Your Phone)*, professor Sam Wineburg has the answers, beginning with this: We can't stick to the same old read-the-chapter-answer-the-question snoozefest. If we want to educate citizens who can separate fact from fake, we have to equip them with new tools. Historical thinking, Wineburg shows, has nothing to do with the ability to memorize facts. Instead, it's an orientation to the world that cultivates reasoned skepticism and counters our tendency to confirm our biases. Wineburg lays out a mine-filled landscape, but one that with care, attention, and awareness, we can learn to navigate. The future of the past may rest on our screens. But its fate rests in our hands. Praise for *Why Learn History (When It's Already on Your Phone)* "If every K-12 teacher of history and social studies read just three chapters of this book—"Crazy for History," "Changing History . . . One Classroom at a Time," and "Why Google Can't Save Us"—the ensuing transformation of our populace would save our democracy." —James W. Lowen, author of *Lies My Teacher Told Me* and *Teaching What Really Happened* "A sobering and urgent report from the leading expert on how American history is taught in the nation's schools. . . . A bracing, edifying, and vital book." —Jill Lepore, *New Yorker* staff writer and author of *These Truths* "Wineburg is a true innovator who has thought more deeply about the relevance of history to the Internet—and vice versa—than any other scholar I know. Anyone interested in the uses and abuses of history today has a duty to read this book." —Niall Ferguson, senior fellow, Hoover Institution, and author of *The Ascent of Money* and *Civilization*

a historian or an historian: An Introduction to and an History of Ireland Sylvester O'Halloran, 1803

a historian or an historian: Reading and Writing American History Peter Charles Hoffer, William Whitney Stueck, 1994

a historian or an historian: The Historian and Historical Evidence Allen 1870-1931 Johnson, 2021-09-09 This work has been selected by scholars as being culturally important and is part of the knowledge base of civilization as we know it. This work is in the public domain in the United States of America, and possibly other nations. Within the United States, you may freely copy and distribute this work, as no entity (individual or corporate) has a copyright on the body of the work. Scholars believe, and we concur, that this work is important enough to be preserved, reproduced, and made generally available to the public. To ensure a quality reading experience, this work has been proofread and republished using a format that seamlessly blends the original graphical elements with text in an easy-to-read typeface. We appreciate your support of the preservation process, and thank you for being an important part of keeping this knowledge alive and relevant.

a historian or an historian: The Historian and Film Paul Smith, 1976-01-29 Film is increasingly engaging the attention of students of history at all levels. In its manifold forms from the newsreel to the 'feature', it is a major source of evidence for, and an important influence upon,

contemporary history, and a vivid means of bringing the recent past to life. For earlier periods, it provides a medium in which the often widely dispersed visual evidences of the past can be brought together for the student. It offers the historian a new form in which to interpret and present his subject, and, as television has shown, it is by far the most important vehicle for the presentation of history to mass audiences. The analysis of its content and impact and the exploration of its uses are especially fitted to bring history into an interdisciplinary relationship with other fields, from sociology to the visual arts.

a historian or an historian: *Ask a Historian* Greg Jenner, 2023-01-31 'Brilliantly funny' SHAPARAK KHORSANDI 'Immensely enjoyable' BBC HISTORY MAGAZINE 'Every page contains delights' LINDSEY FITZHARRIS Why is Italy called Italy? How old is curry? How fast was the medieval Chinese post system? How do we know how people sounded in the past? Who invented maths? Responding to fifty genuine questions from the public, Greg Jenner takes you on an entertaining tour through history from the Stone Age to the Swinging Sixties, revealing the best and most surprising stories, facts and historical characters from the past. From ancient joke books, African empires and the invention of meringues, to mummies, mirrors and menstrual pads - Ask A Historian is a deliciously amusing and informative smorgasbord of historical curiosities.

a historian or an historian: *Debunking Howard Zinn* Mary Grabar, 2019-08-20 Howard Zinn's *A People's History of the United States* has sold more than 2.5 million copies. It is pushed by Hollywood celebrities, defended by university professors who know better, and assigned in high school and college classrooms to teach students that American history is nothing more than a litany of oppression, slavery, and exploitation. Zinn's history is popular, but it is also massively wrong. Scholar Mary Grabar exposes just how wrong in her stunning new book *Debunking Howard Zinn*, which demolishes Zinn's Marxist talking points that now dominate American education. In *Debunking Howard Zinn*, you'll learn, contra Zinn: How Columbus was not a genocidal maniac, and was, in fact, a defender of Indians Why the American Indians were not feminist-communist sexual revolutionaries ahead of their time How the United States was founded to protect liberty, not white males' ill-gotten wealth Why Americans of the "Greatest Generation" were not the equivalent of Nazi war criminals How the Viet Cong were not well-meaning community leaders advocating for local self-rule Why the Black Panthers were not civil rights leaders Grabar also reveals Zinn's bag of dishonest rhetorical tricks: his slavish reliance on partisan history, explicit rejection of historical balance, and selective quotation of sources to make them say the exact opposite of what their authors intended. If you care about America's past—and our future—you need this book.

a historian or an historian: *The Historian at Work* John Ashton Cannon, 1980-01-01

a historian or an historian: *Making History* Jorma Kalela, 2011-11-18 Everyone has a personal connection to the past, independent of historical inquiry. So, what is the role of the historian? *Making History* argues that historians have damagingly dissociated the discipline of history from the everyday nature of history, defining their work only in scholarly terms. Exploring the relationship between history and society, Kalela makes the case for a more participatory historical research culture, in which historians take account of their role in society and the ways in which history-making as a basic social practice is present in their work. *Making History* not only asks provocative questions about the role of the historian, it also provides practical guidance for students and historians on planning research projects with greater public impact. This book is vital reading for all historians, lay and professional, and will be an essential text for undergraduate and postgraduate courses on historiography and research methods.

a historian or an historian: *A New Philosophy of History* Frank Ankersmit, Hans Kellner, 1995-10-15 What is history? From Thucydides to Toynbee historians and nonhistorians alike have wondered how to answer this question. *A New Philosophy of History* reflects on developments over the last two decades in historical writing, not least the renewed interest in the status of narrative itself and the presence of the authorial voice. Subjects include the problems of Grand Narrative, multiple voices and the personal presence of the historian in his text, the ambitions of the French Annales school and the so-called Grand Chronicler, and the relevance of non-literary

models—museum presentations and picturings—regarding historical discourse. The range of approaches found in *A New Philosophy of History* ensures that this book will establish itself as required reading not only for historians, but for everyone interested in literary theory, philosophy, or cultural studies. This volume presents essays by Hans Kellner, Nancy F. Partner, Richard T. Vann, Arthur C. Danto, Linda Orr, Philippe Carrard, Ann Rigney, Allan Megill, Robert Berkhofer, Stephen Bann, and Frank Ankersmit.

a historian or an historian: Reading and Writing American History Charles Peter Hoffer, William Whitney Stueck, 1994-01-01

Additional Resources

Historian - Wikipedia

A historian is a person who studies and writes about the past and is regarded as an authority on it. [1] Historians are concerned with the continuous, methodical narrative and research of past ...

What is a Historian and How Do You Become One? | Norwich ...

To be a historian is to develop and test hypotheses, gather applicable data, and publish subsequent research. People in this field may have to travel and work long hours when ...

Historians : Occupational Outlook Handbook: : U.S. Bureau of ...

Apr 18, 2025 · Historians conduct research and analysis for governments, businesses, individuals, nonprofits, historical associations, and other organizations.

What does a historian do? - CareerExplorer

What is a Historian? Historians are scholars who study and interpret the past, examining historical records, events, and developments to understand and explain human societies' evolution over ...

What Is a Historian and How Do You Become One? (With Skills)

Mar 26, 2025 · Learning about the skills of a historian and the roles they can fulfill can help you prepare for a career in the field. In this article, we discuss what a historian is, explain what ...

What Is the Role of the Historian? – History News Network

Feb 4, 2025 · What Is the Role of the Historian? Rethinking the job of history – and the American Historical Association – after the veto of the Gaza “scholasticide” resolution. by Barbara ...

How to Become a Historian - Salary, Qualification, Skills ...

A historian studies and interprets past events using sources like documents, artefacts, and oral histories. They conduct research, preserve historical materials, write scholarly content, and ...

Historian Career Profile | Job Description, Salary, and ...

Historians research, analyze, interpret, and write about the past by studying historical documents and sources. Historians typically do the following: Historians conduct research and analysis for ...

HISTORIAN Definition & Meaning - Merriam-Webster

The meaning of HISTORIAN is a student or writer of history; especially : one who produces a scholarly synthesis. How to use historian in a sentence.

Historian - Definition, Types, Work Area - Research Method

Mar 25, 2024 · A historian is a scholar who studies, interprets, and analyzes past events, cultures, and societies through primary and secondary sources. By examining historical records, ...

Historian - Wikipedia

A historian is a person who studies and writes about the past and is regarded as an authority on it. [1] Historians are concerned with the continuous, methodical narrative and research of ...

What is a Historian and How Do You Become One? | Norwi...

To be a historian is to develop and test hypotheses, gather applicable data, and publish subsequent research. People in this field may have to travel and work long hours when deadlines ...

Historians : Occupational Outlook Handbook: : U.S. Bur...

Apr 18, 2025 · Historians conduct research and analysis for governments, businesses, individuals, nonprofits, historical associations, ...

What does a historian do? - CareerExplorer

What is a Historian? Historians are scholars who study and interpret the past, examining historical records, events, and developments to understand and explain human ...

What Is a Historian and How Do You Become One? (With Sk...

Mar 26, 2025 · Learning about the skills of a historian and the roles they can fulfill can help you prepare for a career in the field. In this article, we discuss what a historian is, explain what ...

[A Historian Or An Historian](#)

A Historian Or An Historian

Related Articles

- [a device that transforms electrical energy to mechanical](#)
- [a chart of accounts for a retail business](#)
- [a coin history of the us presidents](#)

[Back to Home](#)